

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/500,132	Applicant(s) ISEKI ET AL.	
	Examiner CATHERINE SIMONE	Art Unit 1794	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) CATHERINE SIMONE.

(3) _____.

(2) Steven Yu.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 October 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1,3,20, 21,22 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

discussed canceling withdrawn claim 20 in order to put the case in condition for allowance. Also, discussed amending independent claims 1 and 23 to delete the recitation "one roll unit" in line 5 and remove the recitation "of the plastic film" in line 7 and insert it after "4,000 meter length" in line 8 in order to put the claims in better condition for allowance. Further, discussed amending dependent claims 3, 21 and 23 to delete the recitation "one roll unit of the". It was agreed to make the changes to the claims by Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David R. Sample/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)